

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,106	11/09/2001	Paul A. Crawford	FMCC:014USR1	6485	
. 75	90 09/03/2002				
Mark T Garrett Esq			EXAMINER		
Fulbright & Jaw 600 Congress A			NICHOLSO	NICHOLSON, ERIC K	
Suite 2400 Austin, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. • -		3679		
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/008,106	CRAWFORD, PAUL A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric K Nicholson	3679
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice undersposition of Claims</li> </ol>	llowance except for formal mander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ c	lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in A	pplication No
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li></ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Application/Control Number: 10/008,106

Art Unit: 3679

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 11-09-01 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(a)(6) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of the unnumbered "retainer ring" discussed in the remarks and shown as a separate element and positioned on the outer diameter of the tube. The changes made to the lead lines appear to be acceptable for approval however the examiner disagrees with applicant's statement that "support for the amendment to FIG. 1 adding the retainer ring is found in the originally-filed FIG.1", the examiner can find no such "retainer ring" in the figure nor does the specification support the addition of this "retainer ring".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,106

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's prior art figures 1 and 2 in view of Drawing no. 3254736 listed in the form 1449 and U.S. patent 5,350,205 to Aldridge et al.. The prior art figures 1 and 2 illustrate applicant's claimed invention with a pup joint having a length of pipe 12 having an outside diameter; an integrally formed female sub 16 connected to a first end of the length of pipe, the female sub 16 including a conical sealing surface 20, an outer surface formed adjacent the conical sealing surface and external threads 22 formed on the outer surface; an integrally formed male sub 14 connected to the distal end of the length of pipe, the male sub 14 including a section having an outside diameter greater than the outside diameter of the length of pipe, the male sub also including a forward shoulder 32 extending radially outwardly there from and a spherical sealing surface 18 adjacent the forward shoulder which is adapted to mate with and seal against the conical sealing surface of another such pup joint; a nut 24 having an internal surface, internal threads 26 formed on the internal surface and a rearward shoulder 30 extending radially inwardly from the internal surface; one or more retainer segments 28 positioned between the rearward shoulder 30 of the nut and the forward shoulder 32 of the male sub for restricting axial movement of the nut relative to the male sub in a first direction. According to prior art Fig. 2 in the specification the male sub can be

Application/Control Number: 10/008,106

Art Unit: 3679

threaded onto the distal end of the length of pipe, and the female sub can be threaded onto the first end of the length of pipe. The prior art figures 1 and 2 do not show a retainer ring in a groove nor a retention shoulder on the pipe length however, Drawing no. 3254736 illustrates and teaches a similar end connection to that of prior art figures 1 and 2 and makes it know that it is old and well known to use a retainer ring 5 positioned in a corresponding groove formed in the retainer segments 4 and which has an outer diameter which is greater than the diameter of the rearward shoulder to thereby maintain the nut 2 positioned around the retainer segments 4 and U.S. patent 5,350,205 to Aldridge also illustrates and teaches a similar end connection to that of prior art figures 1 and 2 and shows also that it is old and well known to provide a retention shoulder 74 extending radially outwardly from the section of the male member the retention shoulder positioned rearwardly of the forward shoulder of the nut to restrict axial movement of the nut along the tube, see column 7, lines 4-9. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the retainer segments 28 of the prior art fittings of figures 1 and 2 with the groove and retainer ring as taught by Fig. 3254736 for the purpose of keeping the nut on the retainer segments in order to keep the nut on the segments and thereby keep the segments together and on the length of pipe. Further, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3679

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the length of pipe with a retention shoulder such as taught by shoulder 74 of U.S. patent 5,350,205 to Aldridge in order to limit the range of slidable movement of the coupling nut and retainer segments. See column 7, lines 4-9. As to claims 3,7 and 11 whether the shoulder is "machined" on to the pipe length or not is considered to be merely a process limitation and a comparison of the recited process with the prior art processes does NOT serve to resolve the issue concerning patentability of the product. In re Fessman, 489 F2d 742, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324 (CCPA 1974). Whether a product is patentable depends on whether it is known in the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by whether the process by which it is made is patentable. In re Klug, 333 F2d 905, 142 U.S.P.Q. 161 (CCPA 1964). In an ex parte case, product-by-process claims are not construed as being limited to the product formed by the specific process recited. In re Hirao et al., 535 F2d 67, 190 U.S.P.Q. 15, see footnote 3 (CCPA 1976).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,106 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0829. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays

from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9326 for "before final" papers and (703) 872-9325 for "after final" papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ekn 8/28/02

Eric K. Nicholson

Primary Examiner

**Technology Center 3600**